

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|--------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/807,750 | LIN ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Christopher P Bruenjes | 1772 | |

All Participants:

Status of Application: after final

(1) Christopher P Bruenjes (PTO).

(3) _____

(2) Ashok Janah (applicant's representative).

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 27 March 2005

Time: 12:00pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

ODP rejection

Claims discussed:

all of record

Prior art documents discussed:

USPN 6,777,045

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to file a terminal disclaimer in order to overcome the double patenting rejection.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)